SINGLE BENCH

(1) (4)

CA 2502

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

W.P. (C.) NO. 1054 of 2007

PETITIONER

1. Lalit Tiwari, son of Late Shyama Charan Tiwari, aged 70 years, R/o. Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Lavanya Tiwari, son of Lalit Tiwari, aged about 42 years, R/o. Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 145107 Vivek Rathore
Process ... 29/2/07
dated

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through The Secretary, Department of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

2. Collector, Raipur Distt. Raipur

3. Nazul Officer, Raipur, Distt. Raipur

4. Lokmaya magazine, through Editor, Lokmaya magazine, Raipur

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG-2073/96 19/2/07 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT(S) IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARY, DIRECTION(S)

(138)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Writ Petition (c) No. 1054 of 2007

Petitioners : Lalit Tiwari and another

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Dr. N.K. Shukla, Senior Advocate with Mr. Y.C. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Prashant Mishra, Additional Advocate General with Mr. A.S. Kachhawaha, Government Advocate for the respondents No. 1 to 3/State.
Mr. B.D. Guru, Advocate for the respondent No. 4/Caveator.

ORDER

(Passed on 27th day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners do not want to press any other ground, except that the application (P/7) pending before the Collector, Raipur for renewal of the lease, granted earlier be directed to be decided expeditiously.

2. Mr. Prashant Mishra, learned Additional Advocate General with Mr. A.S. Kachhawaha, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents No. 1 to 3 states that no application is found in the record. However, learned counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 3 has no objection to consideration of the application for renewal of the lease, granted earlier, within a period of two weeks.

2. In view of that, the respondent No. 2 is directed to consider and decide the application (P/7) of the petitioners, in accordance with law, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

3. It is ordered accordingly.

4. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn with the above direction.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu